



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,681	09/15/2000	Raanan Ben-Zur	21391-705	1912	
2292	7590 03/26/2004	EXAMINER			
	ΓEWART KOLASCH &	DINH, KHANH Q			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2151	i E	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	0
		09/662,681		BEN-ZUR ET AL.	\mathcal{Q}
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Khanh Dinh		2155	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE I - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period replay within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period of the period for replay the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however bly within the statutory minimul I will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be tim im of thirty (30) day: (6) MONTHS from ecome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	June 2003 .			
2a)□	·	his action is non-fina	l.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				its is
•	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	ın.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		on.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		••••		
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 3,4 and 11 is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	ent		
	on Papers	or olocaoli roquiloliic	,,,,,		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved	b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	ո.		
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	ed.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receive	ed in Application	on No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prid application from the International Bose the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).		l
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•			cation).
_a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application	has been rec	eived.	,
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Ti PTOL-326 (R		Action Summary		Part of Paper I	No. 15

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1, 2, 5-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo at al., US pat. No.6,105,079 in view of Dittmar, US pat. No.6,674,751.

As to claim 1, Kuo discloses an interface transmitter (using MMU 52 fig.2 to control the reading and writing data frames) coupled among a plurality of network elements (16, 18b and 20 fig.2) of at least one network, wherein a plurality of data frames of a first type are received from at least one processor (Bus Interface Unit 16 fig.2) and stored in at least one random access memory (RAM) (SRAM 18 fig.2), wherein data is read from the at least one RAM to at least one data serializer in response to a plurality of signals received from the at least one

Page 1

Art Unit: 2151

processor (BUI 16 fig.2) and over at least one network(transferring data frames from PCI bus, see col.3 lines 5-28 and col.5 line 36 to col.6 line 50), wherein a plurality of data frames of a second type (F2 type frame of fig.5) corresponding to the plurality of data frames of a first type (F1 type of data frame of fig.5) are generated and serially transferred among the plurality of network elements using the at least one network (see abstract, figs.2, 5A, 5B, col.7 lines 8-51 and col.9 lines 12-51).

Page 2

Kuo does not specifically disclose using a backplane network. However, Dittmar discloses processing data elements using a backplane network (see fig.1, col.3 line 56 to col.4 line 41). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a Dittmar's backplane network into the computer system of Kuo to connect network devices because it would have supported other circuit boards, devices and the interconnections among network devices and provided power/data signals to supported devices.

As to claim 2, Kuo discloses at least one data frame of a second type comprises switching event information of the plurality of network elements (tracking the location of stored data, see col.7 lines 8-51 col.9 lines 12-51).

As to claim 4, Kuo discloses generating at least one unit interrupt signal (see col.3 lines 5-28) in response to the data changes, generating at least one memory map in response to the at least one unit interrupt signal (updating the frames track in response to a change of a single bit) and generating at least one massive interrupt signal in response to the at least one unit interrupt signal (see fig.4 and col.7 line 60 to col.8 line 57 and col.9 lines 12-51).

As to claim 5, Kuo discloses protection switching (52 fig.2) is controlled in the plurality of network elements by the at least one processor (16 fig.1) in response to the switching event information, wherein the at least one

Art Unit: 2151

processor navigates among a plurality of memory locations using a plurality of memory maps in response to at least one interrupt signal, reads data from the plurality of memory locations relating to the switching event information and evaluates the switching event information (controlling the reading and writing of data frames, see fig.2, col.5 line 36 to col.6 line 51).

As to claims 6 and 10, Dittmar further discloses using a 16-channel bus network and a data frame of one field-programmable gate array (FPGA) (see col.5 line 11 to col.6 line 54). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Dittmar's teachings into the computer system of Kuo to connect network devices and circuit boards because it would have reduced data overheads and increased data transmission across a serial link.

As to claims 7 and 8, Kuo discloses the plurality of data frames of a first format correspond to a plurality of input channels among the plurality of network elements and a plurality of memory areas (F1, F2, F3 fig.5A) distributed among the plurality of network elements (see figs.1, 5, col.4 lines 12-63 and col.5 line 36 to col.6 line 36).

As to claims 9, Kuo discloses at least one dual port RAM (SRAM 18 fig.2) (see col.5 line 36 to col.6 line 36).

As to claim 12, Kuo discloses a plurality of processors (16, 20 fig.2) distributed among the plurality of network elements (see figs.1, 2, col.4 lines 11-63 and col.5 line 36 to col.6 line 36).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2151

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 4 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other prior art cited

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Bellenger et al, US pat. No.6,263,016
 - b. Provencher et al, US pat. No.6,639,910.
 - c. Hendel et al, US pat. No.5,602,995.
 - d. Ben-Zur et al., US pat. No.6,633,573.

Conclusion

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5-10 and 12 are rejected.
- 7. Claims 3, 4 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U. S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

FRANTZ B. JEAN
FRANTZ B. JEAN
FRANTZ B. JEAN
FRANTZ B. JEAN

Khanh Dinh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151 3/21/2004